Use of a Neurosensorimotor Reflex Integration Program to Improve Reflex Patterns of Children with Down Syndrome

Abstract

A novel and non-invasive method for evaluating and improving neurodevelopmental delays in children with Down syndrome was evaluated. Changes in the reflex patterns of children (6 months to 18 years old) (n=54) with Down syndrome were used as objective measures for comparing before and after participation in a Neurosensorimotor Reflex Integration exercise program. Majority number of reflex patterns showed substantial improvement after children’s completion of the exercise program, although not to the level of development comparable to that of children with typical development. This success indicates that the neurodevelopment and overall functioning of Down syndrome children is not static and can be improved with this novel Masgutova Neurosensorimotor Reflex Integration (MNRI®) exercise program. This research also show the fact that the changes in reflex patterns happen in children with mild, moderate and severe disabilities on significant level.

Keywords: Down syndrome; Neurosensorimotor reflex integration; Reflex pattern; Developmental disabilities

Received: September 23, 2015; Accepted: December 28; 2015, Published: December 31, 2015

Introduction

Down syndrome is caused by chromosome 21 abnormalities and is the most commonly identified genetic form of intellectual developmental disorder [1]. The prevalence of Down syndrome appears to be increasing, and current estimates are that about 1 in 700 live births are affected. A number of morphological, health, and neurodevelopmental disorders are associated with Down syndrome. Most children with Down syndrome have reduced muscle strength and tone (hypo-tonicity), excessive/hyper-motor rotation range in joints, and other neurodevelopmental, motor, and cognitive deficiencies [2]. Down syndrome children also have other developmental disabilities, including delayed psychomotor development, learning disabilities, deficient communication skills, and neurobehavioral and psychiatric problems that manifest as behavioral problems. Behavioral problems include aggression, disruptive behavior, attention deficit disorders, and obsessive-compulsive disorders [3]. While improvements in medical care have led to increased life expectancy for those with

Down Syndrome [3], therapies for cognitive disabilities often emphasize pharmacologic strategies [4]. Consequently, there is a need for alternative or complementary therapies for addressing the neurodevelopmental deficiencies of children with Down syndrome.

Neurosensorimotor Reflex Integration (MNRI®) therapeutic modality is a novel and non-invasive method of improving developmental delays and central nervous system function in children with a variety of developmental disabilities [5]. This method addresses disorders with a neurologic component, and it is based on the concept that unconditioned reflexes are not
static and facilitate adaptation to the external environment, while becoming the foundation for physical, emotional, and cognitive development [6,7]. Unconditioned reflexes, such as Babkin Palmomental, Babinski, Spinal Galant, and Perez are innate, genetically-based traits that are required for survival by all individuals [8]. In contrast, conditioned reflexes, are learned voluntary responses that require recruitment of unconditioned reflexes [9-13]. Conditioned reflexes such as Leg Cross Flexion-Extension, Bauer Crawling, and Symmetrical Tonic Neck are the basis for most unconscious habits and skills [6,9].

An assumption of the MNRI therapeutic modality is that if the reflex arc of sensory input, brain processing, and motor response is complete, and the various reflexes are neurologically mature (myelinated), then physical, emotional and cognitive functions will function normally [12,14]. Alternatively, emotional outbursts, cognitive deficiencies, or atypical movement patterns may occur if there are deficiencies in the interpretation of sensory information or motor responses are abnormal. This appears to be true for autism, where sensory disorders appear to be more frequent and prominent than in children with normal development [15]. Motor disorders are also present in autistic children at birth, and these disorders may be useful for diagnosing autism at a young age [16,17]. The MNRI therapeutic modality considers reflex deficiencies as diagnostic of developmental pathology also in children with Down syndrome and other developmental disabilities, as well as clinical targets for correcting deficiencies that can favor improved behavior, emotional stability, and physical control. It also promotes the importance of an early intervention program.

The MNRI Assessment separately evaluates 24 basic reflexes that were empirically selected from well-established reflexes [5,16,17]. This evaluation is based on measurement of motor responses because direct measurement of sensory and brain processing is not currently possible. Each reflex receives a single score on a continuous scale of 0-4 using the sum of scores for five parameters: 1. direction of motor or postural response, 2. sensory-motor coordination in a reflex pattern, 3. intensity or strength, 4. response time and its duration, and 5. symmetry [5,16,17]. Clinically, each reflex evaluation is used to develop an individualized, home exercise program that is intended to correct dysfunctional reflex function. Individualized exercise programs are established based on the patient’s reflex deficiencies. These programs are developed at MNRI training conferences where patients are assessed and parents or caregivers are trained to perform neurosensorimotor exercises at home. The conferences offer six different neurosensorimotor training sessions each day. Although neurosensorimotor training sessions are selected based on the patient’s needs (Appendix 1), each training session is based on the same neurosensorimotor concepts.

Improved reflex scores following use of Neurosensorimotor Reflex Integration has anecdotally been observed to correspond with improved behavior, emotional stability, and/or physical control. Consequently, improved reflex scores are objective measures of improved function following use of the MNRI programs. Statistical comparisons of improved reflex scores require integration of 24 reflex evaluations into a single score (Zc) that represents overall function. Therefore, an ANOVA was developed that incorporates reflexes grouped by functional body movement planes [18].

This study of 54 children with Down syndrome documents the effectiveness of MNRI for improving the functioning of children with Down syndrome. Improved reflex scores were used as objective measures for before and after comparisons. This study evaluates the efficacy of MNRI for improving the functions of the sensory-motor sphere of children with developmental deficiencies.

### Material and Methods

#### Study design

This study documented improvement in 54 children (6 months to 18 years old) with Down syndrome; 21 females (9 children of 0-5 years, 8 children of 6-12 years, and 4 children of 13-18 year old age) and 33 males (12 children of 0-4 years, 11 - of 6-12 years, and 9 of them of the age of 13-18). 50 children were diagnosed by genetic analysis as trisomy disorder and 4 as mosaic disorder (mixed). The level of severity of disability was determined based on their diagnosis of the IQ as the main criteria accepted in medical and psychological evaluations [19-21]. 33 children with Down Syndrome were checked as follows: 13 children with mild disorder (IQ: 50-70), 16 of moderate disorder (IQ: 35-50), and 4 children with severe disorders (IQ: 20-35). 21 children other were not checked for levels of severity of the symptoms due to the challenge of testing their intellectual retardation at their younger ages.

The research group of children (Study Group) attended at least one MNRI training conference held during the 2011 and 2012 calendar years versus the control group of 30 individuals with Down Syndrome (the same age of 6 months to 18 years old; females and males) (Control Group 1) and also individuals with neurotypical development (Control Group 2). Conferences were held in Warsaw, Poland; San Francisco, New Jersey, and Florida, USA; and Vancouver, Canada. Group sizes at these multiple day conferences were 12-24 participants. Inclusion criteria included: completion of a Reflex Parameters Assessment before (pre-test) and after (post-test) attendance at a training conference (8 days: 4 days of intense training, one day rest, followed by another 4 days of training), and completion of six 50-minute training sessions during a training conference (total 64 therapy hours). Training session topics included: Neurostructural Reflex Integration; Tactile Integration; Dynamic and Postural Reflex Re-patterning; Visual and Auditory Reflex Integration and Oral-Facial Reflex Integration; Propropriocceptive and Vestibular Skills Development, Lifelong Reflex Integration; and Archetype Movement Integration. Receipt of informed consent was received from all participants’ parent or legal guardian. Assessments were conducted and therapy administered by Specialists or Core Specialists in Training who have successfully completed a specific set of courses and clinical hours in MNRI.

The research also presents study data on a control group of 46 individuals with Down syndrome (Control Group 2, the same age of 6 months to 18 years), who did not go through MNRI training. Among them there were 19 females (9 children of 0-5 years, 6...
Statistical methods

Results of Reflex Pattern Assessments in children with Down syndrome were analyzed based on the multivariable function \( z = f(x) \) of directly non-observable phenomena [18]. Briefly, this function estimates the level of the reflex pattern integration \( Z \) as a function of the grading reflex patterns \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{24} \), with the assumption that this is a linear function. Consequently, variable \( Z_S \) (sagittal) summarizes information from the first eight reflex patterns \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_8 \), variable \( Z_H \) (horizontal body plane) summarizes the information from the second eight reflex patterns \( X_9, X_{10}, \ldots, X_{16} \), and variable \( Z_D \) (dorsal) summarizes the last eight reflex patterns \( X_{17}, X_{18}, \ldots, X_{24} \). In this case, instead of taking the 24 values with each of the scores (0 to 20) for each patient, we determine three values of reflex pattern integration by body plane symmetry and/or one value of the reflex pattern integration level \( Z \) for values between 0 and 1. Mean values of \( Z_S, Z_H, \) and \( Z_D \) were compared before and 11 days after participating in the MNRI program using an ANOVA test developed for this type of analysis (IBM SPSS Statistics Grad Pack 22.0). Results were considered statistically significant where \( p < 0.01 \) and not significant at \( p > 0.05 \).

Part of statistical evaluations were performed also with the Mann-Whitney U-test, using Statistica (version 6.0; Stat Soft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA). P values (M ± SD) less than 0.001 were considered significant and not significant at \( p > 0.05 \).

Results

Initial reflex scores of children in Study Group ranged from severe dysfunction (4.5) to low levels of development (12) (Table 2). Significant number of their reflexes - 83.3% - showed substantial improvement after completion of MNRI - Neurosensorimotor reflex integration -programs (Table 2), though not to the level of development comparable to that of children with typical development (Table 2 and Figure 1). 16.7% of reflex patterns (the Automatic Gait, Hands Supporting, Flying and Landing and Pavlov Orientation) didn’t show the statistical significance though positive changes were noticed particularly, in such patterns as: the Automatic Gait pattern - in more balanced manner and speed of walking; the Hands Supporting - movement orientation in space, strength of muscles. The means of all reflexes summarized by body plane symmetry (Z values) increased after completion of the MNRI program, as did the cumulative \( Z_C \) value (Table 3).

Analysis of reflex patterns in children in the Control Group (with Down syndrome) that did not go through the MNRI training reveals that there is no positive dynamics (there is no statistical significance - \( p > 0.05 \) (Tables 2 and 3). The same result is noted towards children with neurotypical development - there are no changes in their reflex dynamic when MNRI intervention is not proposed (Table 3).

Also an additional comparative analysis of the improvement of the reflex function dynamic in children with Down syndrome with different level of severity of their disability (A-mild disability, B-moderate, C-severe) has shown that the changes in reflex patterns in every of these sub-groups were statistically significant.
Also an interesting clinical fact is noticed: the progress in correction of the reflex patterns in the Study Group was dependent on age - it was higher in the group of children age 6 months to 5 years, and also in the group of children ages 6 to 12 years. It was significant but less in the group of ages 13-18 years, which can be interpreted that the MNRI program guarantees higher results in children of 0-12 years of age and emphasizes the importance of early intervention, and that elder children and adults will benefit from longer term work with the MNRI tools.

Table 1 Clinical assessments of Reflex Pattern Assessment scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal Function</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Level of reflex integration</th>
<th>Dysfunction/Pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-test: Before Program</td>
<td>Post-test: After Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10-11.75 Marginal pathology and dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-19.75</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8-9.75 Improper light dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-17.75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6-7.75 Dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-15.75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4-5.75 Severe dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-13.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2-3.75 Pathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0-1.75 Severe pathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Diagnostic Quality Feature (X₁-X₉), body movement planes (S = sagittal; H = horizontal; D = dorsal), reflexes, and assessments before and after participation in neurosensorimotor reflex integration (MNRI) conferences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic Quality Feature</th>
<th>Body Movement Plane</th>
<th>Reflex</th>
<th>Pre-test: Before Program</th>
<th>Post-test: After Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistical significance P<0.05.

(Table 4), while in Control group such a change has not been occurring (P >0.05), which means that every sub-group in Study Group benefited from the MNRI therapy. Still clinic observation and the points for reflex patterns level show that children with severe disability have lower level of development of reflex patterns, and would need longer term work with the use of the MNRI Program.

Also an interesting clinical fact is noticed: the progress in correction
Discussion

Children with Down syndrome have a number of developmental disorders, and there is a need to identify efficacious strategies for improving these children’s functional capabilities. Neurosensorimotor reflex integration (MNRI) has been developed as a successful means of assessing and improving the neurological function of children with developmental disorders [22], and anecdotally appears useful for children with Down syndrome. The MNRI therapeutic modality is based on the concept that improving the reflexes of children with developmental disorders will improve their sensorimotor, cognitive, and behavioral capabilities and emotional growth. This concept was developed based on Russian and Polish physiological research and anecdotals observations of improved function among children participating in MNRI conferences [5,22]. Further support for this concept is evident where improved reflexes result in improved gross and fine motor coordination and postural control [23]. Improved behavior can also occur with MNRI training because children learn to regulate involuntary, unconscious, spontaneous motor activity and emotional responses [24]. Therefore, cognition can concurrently improve [25,26]. This study represents an initial step in documenting the efficacy of MNRI for improving the functioning of children with Down syndrome and other developmental disabilities.

This study documents that Down syndrome participants’ baseline reflexes were largely dysfunctional or pathological, as would be predicted by what is known of Down syndrome and the basis of the MNRI Assessment method [5]. Participants’ reflexes (Table 2 and Figure 1) and summarized results of reflex assessment (Table 3) showed improvement in Down syndrome children’s sensory-motor skills following completion of MNRI training sessions. Anecdotally, these improvements in motor skills correspond to the participants’ improved cognitive, language, and communication skills, and decrease in behavioral problems. Further evaluation of MNRI for improving the functioning of children with Down syndrome and other developmental disorders is in progress.

Comparison of results of children in the Study Group (with Down syndrome) that went through the MNRI training Program with results of children (with Down syndrome) in Control Group 1 that did not go through the MNRI Program reveals that the level of changes in reflex patterns are statistically significant in the Study Group (P<0.05), versus results in the Control Group, in which the dynamic statistically is not significant (P>0.05) (Tables 2 and 3). This shows a significant positive effect of the work with children with Down syndrome using the MNRI Program.

Similarly, the comparison of results in Study Group, Control 1 (both Down syndrome) and pre- and post-test in Control Group 2 of neurotypical children also demonstrates that the changes took place only in the Study Group, where the MNRI program was applied (Table 3). This can point out at the fact that correction of reflex patterns in this short length of time happens only, if the specialized program is used; and that there are no any significant changes, if the corrective work is not done - independently of whether the participant is in the group of the development deficit children or neurotypical children.

Also an interesting fact is noted: the progress in correction of the reflex patterns in children in the Study Group was dependent on age - it was higher in the group of children age 6 months to 5 years, and also in the group of children ages 6 to12 years. It was significant but less in the group of ages 13-18 years, which can be interpreted that the MNRI program guarantees higher results in children of 0-12 years of age and emphasizes the importance of early intervention.

Additional comparative analysis of the improvement of reflex patterns overall in different sub-groups of children with Down syndrome, depending on severity of their disability, has shown that the changes in every group are statistically significant (Table 4): results of changes in children in Study Group with mild disability (pre-test: 9.8 ± 0.4; post-test: 11.25 ± 0.56), moderate (pre-test: 9.8 ± 0.4; post-test: 11.25 ± 0.56), and severe (pre-test: 5.7 ± 0.36; post-test: 7.2 ± 0.42) show p<0.05 compared to results in Control Group of children with Down syndrome with...
The MNRI program significantly improves the reflex functions of children with Down syndrome (6 months to 18 years old) (n = 54) by improving their sensory-motor integration. This serves as an indication that the neurosensory development and overall functioning of these children are not static and can be improved independently of the genetic roots of the disorder. Consequently, MNRI may offer an effective means of improving the overall functioning of children with Down syndrome serve as an exemplary tool for children with other neurodeficits and learning disabilities.

This study also shows that the changes in affected reflex patterns follow after specific MNRI therapy intervention; and that the improvement in affected reflex patterns do not happen spontaneously in children that: a) have improper working reflex patterns, and b) did not go through the MNRI program. This study shows the importance of intervention therapies that target at corrective work with reflex patterns, the units of nerve system functioning. The authors of this article based on long-term clinical observations consider that the MNRI program must serve as a basic start therapy for other types of therapy modalities - physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech pathology therapy, sensory integration and other.

Comparative analysis of reflex patterns improvement in different groups of children with Down syndrome, depending on severity of their disability based on their IQ (mild, moderate and severe), has shown that the children in all three sub-groups have experienced different levels of improvement in their reflex pattern functions and sensorimotor.

**Conclusions**
sphere. In contrast, in Control groups, where children did not go through the MNRI program the changes in reflex patterns were not noticed. This data validates the long-term clinical observation of the fact that poor functioning or dysfunctional reflex patterns need specific treatment tools built on exact knowledge of neurophysiology of a reflex circuit and evaluation of parameters of a reflex pattern (sensory-motor circuit, direction of response, intensity, latency and symmetry), also identification of the level of reflex function/development (poorly developed or dysfunctional, or pathological) and correction procedure oriented at developmental challenges of children with Down syndrome. The MNRI method contains such a procedure with leads to a significant success in sensorimotor development in children of this group of disorder (which was also noticed with other genetic syndromes, such as Prader-Willi, Fragile-X, and equally in cases of other neurodeficits (cerebral palsy, brain damage, autism).

This research further plans to share information concerning the neurophysiological mechanism and biomechanical aspects of a reflex pattern that the MNRI program successfully activates though corrective tools using the reflex pattern as the ‘model scheme’ to improve the reflex circuits parameters and sensory-motor patterns functions in children with Down syndrome; particularly, to show the ways how to target the neuro-sensory-motor components of a reflex pattern and other automaticity in order to support the maturation and strengthen the lower motor neurons functions and subcortical structures of the brain for support of higher executive functions, such as postural control, motor coordination, regulation of behavior and emotions, cognitive processes (comparison, analysis, comprehension, language), and personality development.
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